

**Remarks**

Claims 29-34 are pending in the present application, of which claim 30 is objected and claims 31 and 32 are allowed. By this paper, Applicant cancels claim 29, 33 and 34 and amends claim 30.

The Examiner has rejected claims 29, 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell (U.S. Pat. No. 2,458,427). By this paper, Applicant has canceled claims 29, 33 and 34.

The Examiner has indicated that claim 30 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, claim 30 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims to be allowable as suggested by the Examiner.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication that claims 31 and 32 are allowed.

S/N: 09/930,601  
Reply to Office Action of June 28, 2006

Atty Dkt No. FCTL 0003 PUS

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully asserts that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

**Mark Manuel et al.**

By 

Michael D. Turner  
Reg. No. 52,306  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 7/27/06

**BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.**  
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor  
Southfield, MI 48075-1238  
Phone: 248-358-4400  
Fax: 248-358-3351